## AGENCY RESPONSE TO GRAND JURY REPORT

Report Title: The Budget Squeeze: How Will Marin Fund Its Public Employee

| Pensions?   |                                  |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Report Date: June 5, 2017   | Response Date: September 5, 2017 |
| Agency Name: Novato Sanitary District   | Agenda Date: 6/12/17 & 7/10/17   |
| Response by: A. Gerald Peters   | Title: Board President           |
| FINDINGS  |                                  |
| ■ I (we) agree with the findings numbered: Not Applicable   |                                  |
| I (we) disagree <i>partially</i> with the findings number   |                                  |
| <ul> <li>I (we) disagree wholly with the findings number</li> </ul>   |                                  |
| (Attach a statement specifying any portions of the findings that are disputed; include an explanation of the reasons therefor.)   |                                  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS   |                                  |
| Recommendations numbered R3 - see attached I  | etter have been implemented.     |
| (Attach a summary describing the implemented actions.)  |                                  |
| Recommendations numbered R4 - see attached but will be implemented in the future.   | have not yet been implemented,   |
| (Attach a timeframe for the implementation.)  |                                  |
| Recommendations numbered Not Applicable   | require further analysis.        |
| (Attach an explanation and the scope and parameters of an analysis or study, and a timeframe for the matter to be prepared for discussion by the officer or director of the agency or department being investigated or reviewed, including the governing body of the public agency when applicable. This <u>timeframe</u> shall not exceed six months from the date of publication of the grand jury report.) |                                  |
| Recommendations numbered R8 - see attached letter because they are not warranted or are not reasonable.   |                                  |
| (Attach an explanation.)  Date: //2 / Signed:   |                                  |
| Number of pages attached 3  |                                  |



## **NOVATO SANITARY DISTRICT**

500 DAVIDSON STREET \* NOVATO \* CALIFORNIA 94945 \* PHONE (415) 892-1694 \* FAX (415) 898-2279 www.novatosan.com

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

JERRY PETERS, President CAROLE DILLON-KNUTSON, President Pro-Tem WILLIAM C. LONG JEAN MARIANI BRANT MILLER SANDEEP KARKAL, P.E. General Manager-Chief Engineer

> KENTON L. ALM Legal Counsel

July 12, 2017

The Honorable Judge Kelly V. Simmons Marin County Superior Court P.O. Box 4988 San Rafael, CA 94913-4988

Jay Hamilton-Roth, Foreperson Marin County Grand Jury 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room #275 San Rafael, CA 94903

Re: Response to Recommendations R3, R4, and R8, Grand Jury Report, "The Budget

Squeeze: How Will Marin Fund Its Public Employee Pensions?" dated June 5, 2017.

The Novato Sanitary District ("District" or "Novato Sanitary"), as a utility providing sanitary services in and about Novato, California, is required to respond to recommendations R3, R4, and R8 of the subject Grand Jury Report (Report). The District Board of Directors met and discussed the Report in the open session portions of its following publicly noticed meetings, and in accordance with the notice, agenda, and open meeting requirements of the Ralph M. Brown Act:

- June12, 2017, Board of Directors Meeting.
- July 10, 2017, Board of Directors Meeting.

The District's responses are provided below, and follow a format where each recommendation is separately listed and responded to individually (with *responses in italics*).

## Responses to Recommendations

R3. Agencies should publish long-term budgets (i.e., covering at least five years), update them at least every other year and report what percent of total revenue they anticipate spending on pension contributions.

<u>Response to R3</u>: The District views Recommendation R3 to provide two separate recommendations, to wit: (1) To publish five-year budgets, and update them at least every other year; and (2) Report the percentage of total revenue it anticipates spending on pension

Marin County Grand Jury

Response: "The Budget Squeeze: How Will Marin Fund Its Public Employee Pensions?"

July 11, 2017 Page 2 of 3

contributions. Viewing these as severable recommendations, the District will address them separately as follows:

1. Pursuant to Penal Code section 933.05(b)(1), the District considers that it has implemented the recommendation to publish five-year budgets, and update them at least every other year.

The District traditionally prepares a five-year rate study as part of its Proposition 218 rate setting efforts. The rate study, typically projects revenues and expenditures over the five-year effective term of study, and incorporates a projected five-year budget.

The District's most recent five-year rate study was performed in 2016 by the well regarded public finance firm of Bartle Wells Associates (Berkeley, CA), and is available at the District's website at: <a href="http://www.novatosan.com/assets/uploads/documents/finance/2016-Sewer-Rate-Study-prepared-by-Bartle-Wells-Associates.pdf">http://www.novatosan.com/assets/uploads/documents/finance/2016-Sewer-Rate-Study-prepared-by-Bartle-Wells-Associates.pdf</a>

The District also traditionally prepares a detailed two-year budget (for the current or upcoming fiscal year as well as for the following fiscal year). Given the District's relatively small size and staffing structure, the District is of the opinion that going forward its current practice will serve to provide an adequately accurate picture of the District's finances from a budgeting perspective. This is further supported by the fact as noted in the Grand Jury's Report (page 19 of 61), that "The Novato Sanitary District stood out as being in particularly good financial condition in that it spends less than 2% of its revenues on pension contributions and has a NPL that is 18% of its cash position." As further noted, the Grand Jury considered the last five years of the District's financial data in making this statement.

2. Pursuant to Penal Code section 933.05(b)(1), the District considers that it has implemented this recommendation beginning with its FY17-18 budget year.

Page 4 of the District's FY17-18 Preliminary Budget is a detailed expenditures breakdown table of the District's FY17-18 Operating Budget by Cost Center and Account Category. This table lists a budgeted Pension Expenses (Trust) amount of \$223,152, or 2.16% of the Operating Budget, which equates to about 1.01% of the overall expenditures budget (Operating + Capital) for FY17-18.

R4. Each agency should provide 10 years of audited financial statements and summary pension data for the same period (or links to them) on the financial page of its public website.

<u>Response to R4</u>: Pursuant to Penal Code section 933.05(b)(2), the District will implement this recommendation on a going forward basis in each of its upcoming fiscal years.

The District began to prepare Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) in FY10-11, which include the District's audited financials. The District's currently carries the last five years of its Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) on its website. The CAFRs also include the summary pension data for the District, where applicable. Given that the District only has six

Marin County Grand Jury

Response: "The Budget Squeeze: How Will Marin Fund Its Public Employee Pensions?"

July 11, 2017 Page 3 of 3

years of available CAFRs at this time, the District will adopt a practice of maintaining all of its past and current CAFRs on its web-site until it reaches an inventory of ten years of CAFRs on its website, and then transition to a rolling, most recent ten year inventory of CAFRs.

R8. Public agencies and public employee unions should begin to explore how introduction of defined contribution programs can reduce unfunded liabilities for public pensions.

<u>Response to R8</u>: Pursuant to Penal Code section 933.05(b)(4), this recommendation will not be implemented, as the District believes that it is not warranted for the District.

While generally being in agreement with this recommendation, the District believes that this exercise would be of limited strategic utility to the District at this time in addressing its unfunded pension liability. The District initiated a pension-liability containment strategy in 2012, when it limited all new employees hired after January 1, 2012 to a less generous 2% at age 60 CalPERS pension plan. Subsequently under PEPRA, all new employees hired after January 1, 2013 are limited to an even less generous 2% at age 62 plan.

The District believes that this strategy led (in part at least), to the District being in a position where the Grand Jury was able to state (on page 19 of the Report) that: "The Novato Sanitary District stood out as being in particularly good financial condition in that it spends less than 2% of its revenues on pension contributions and has a NPL that is 18% of its cash position."

Further, as the Grand Jury acknowledges (page 22 of the Report), there are significant hurdles to practical implementation of defined contribution plans to limit pension liabilities, including legal (CalPERS approval under PEPRA section 20502); financial (CalPERS termination fees); and the requirement for collective bargaining through labor union negotiations.

In closing, the District would like to acknowledge and appreciate all of the Grand Jury's hard work and efforts in preparing this timely Report on a significant issue facing all public agencies.

As always, please feel welcome to contact us if you have any questions or require additional information.

Very truly yours,

NOVATO SANITARY DISTRICT

A. Gerald Peters

President, Board of Directors